Interoperability and Patient Engagement: Health Information Exchange (HIE), Secure Messaging, and Patient Portals for Modified Stage 2

September 19 & 20, 2017

Today's presenter: Al Wroblewski



Context: Why Are Interoperability & Patient Engagement Important?

- Improved care coordination
- Increased patient engagement
- Fostering bi-directional information exchange
- Increased accuracy and timeliness of information shared
- Opportunity for analytics and better population health management
- Expanded data collection and reporting
- Leveraging Health IT for improved efficiencies





Health Information Exchange (HIE)



- Use CEHRT to create Summary of Care record and transmit it electronically for more than10% of transitions/referrals (2016, 2017 and 2018)
- Exclusion: <100 transfers or referrals</p>
 - Upload MU Dashboard
 - Upload one Summary of Care Record
 - Patient info redacted
 - Including problem list, medication list, and med allergy list
 - Upload confirmation of receipt



Potential Problem	Potential Solution
Staff reluctant to give up using fax and/or phone	Provide technical support to clinicians and administrative staff
Protocol for routine use of HIE not institutionalized	Create standardized protocol, train staff on its use, solicit and incorporate feedback
Content of Consolidated Clinical Document Architecture (CCD-A) not refined	Develop short term project team to design, review and adopt CCD-A
Some personnel are on board with HIE, some are not	Acquire high level endorsement within practice



HIE Workflow Issues – Receiving End

Potential Problem	Potential Solution
Unclear whom to contact at trading partner	Use other contacts at partner; contact MeHI for help
Trading partner will not accept electronic transmission	Get to know key HIE personnel at trading partner
Correct handling of Summary of Care Record unreliable	Create test environment parallel to existing communication channel; customize content to conform both to CMS requirements & specs of receiving party; learn their workflow
Hard to ascertain receipt	Include vendors in problem solving
Receiving specialist not interested in Summary of Care Record	Emphasize regulatory trend is mandating increased interoperability
No incentive for receiver to cooperate	Start by engaging with high volume trading partners



Potential Problem	Potential Solution
Interfaces not working	Engage vendors
Transmission mechanism problems	Schedule periodic conference calls with key players to monitor and improve process
Not all components are certified	Identify all technical products required from source to destination and assure compliance
CEHRT functionality	Engage vendors
Numerator/Denominator not captured/reported correctly	Engage vendors



Secure Messaging



- At least 1 patient seen was sent a secure message (2016)
- >5% patients seen were sent a secure message (2017 and 2018)
- Exclusion: No office visits
- Exclusion: ≥50% encounters in county with limited broadband
 - Upload documentation showing that the function has been enabled prior to or during the EHR reporting period (2016)
 - Upload MU Dashboard (2016, 2017 and 2018)



Potential Problem	Potential Solution
EP resistant to using electronic communication to communicate with patients or clients	Develop manual/trainings for clinical advantages and benefits of electronic communication
Practice has not established secure messaging as standard operating procedure for providers and staff to communicate with patients or clients	Create standardized content (such as flue shot reminders, etc.) and schedule when secure messages are to be sent, automate when appropriate



Potential Problem	Potential Solution
Cognitive challenges	Use patient-authorized representative
No electronic access	Tutor challenged patients or clients in using electronic device
Location challenges	Have laptops/tablets/kiosks available Staff can assist patients as needed
Not interested in using portal	Periodically re-invite; prepare to adopt wider variety of devices required with 2015 Edition CEHRT



Potential Problem	Potential Solution
Imperfect tracking of secure messages by CEHRT (especially messages occurring outside the EHR reporting period but within the program year)	Work with vendor; understand logic behind populating numerator/denominator



Patient Portal



Patient Portal – Attestation Requirements

- >50% unique patients seen were given access (2016, 2017 and 2018)
 - Exclusion: EP neither orders nor creates required information
- At least 1 patient seen by the EP viewed, downloaded, or transmitted their health info (2016)
 - Exclusions: EP neither orders nor creates required information
 - Exclusion: ≥50% encounters in county with limited broadband
- >5% unique patients seen by the EP viewed, downloaded, or transmitted their health info (2017 and 2018)
 - Exclusions: EP neither orders nor creates required information
 - Exclusion: ≥50% encounters in county with limited broadband
 - Upload MU dashboard



Potential Problem	Potential Solution
No institutionalized method of providing access that links to CEHRT data capture for numerator/denominator	Train staff in exact steps to give access and capture the fact in CEHRT
Confidentiality and privacy issues difficult to standardize	
Not all staff are knowledgeable about patient engagement and how to encourage patients to use portal	



Potential Problem	Potential Solution
Giving access to minors	Use patient-authorized representative
Cognitive challenges	Coach patient or client in using electronic devices
No computer access	Have laptops/tablets/kiosks available Staff can assist patients as needed
Location challenges	Introduce use of other devices per 2015 Edition requirements
Not interested in using portal	



Potential Problem	Potential Solution
Method of giving access not recognized by CEHRT logic for generating numerator/denominator	Work with vendor; possibly requiring patch of some sort
Access method used by practice does not fulfill CMS/attestation requirements	Communicate with MeHI before EHR reporting period if there are concerns
Portal module doesn't interface with CEHRT properly	Contact vendors
CEHRT dashboard fails to accurately report true numerator/denominator	Understand logic of how numerator/denominator is populated



Questions?



This presentation was current at the time it was presented, published or uploaded onto the web. This presentation was prepared as a service to the public and is not intended to grant rights or impose obligations. This presentation may contain references or links to statutes, regulations, or other policy materials. The information provided is only intended to be a general summary. It is not intended to take the place of either the written law or regulations. We encourage attendees to review the specific statutes, regulations, and other interpretive materials for a full and accurate statement of their contents.





mehi.masstech.org 1.855.MassEHR ehealth@masstech.org Follow us MassEHealth

Al Wroblewski

Client Services Relationship Manager

wroblewski@masstech.org

(508) 870-0312 ext. 603

Keely Benson

Program Manager

benson@masstech.org

(508) 870-0312 ext. 241

Brendan Gallagher

Technical Assistance Specialist gallagher@masstech.org (508) 870-0312 ext. 387

Nicole Bennett

Provider Enrollment & Verification Manager

bennett@masstech.org

(508) 870-0312 ext. 640

Thomas Bennett

Client Services Relationship Manager tbennett@masstech.org (508) 870-0312 ext. 403

Rik Kerstens

eHealth Services Director

kerstens@masstech.org

(508) 870-0312 ext. 285

